arXiv:1602.04079v3 [math.AG] 2 Dec 2016

J-INVARIANT OF HERMITIAN FORMS

RAPHAËL FINO

Abstract. We develop the version of the J-invariant for hermitian forms in a similar way Alexander Vishik did it for quadratic forms in [11]. This discrete invariant contains informations about rationality of algebraic cycles on the maximal unitary grassmannian associated with a hermitian form. The computation of the canonical 2-dimension of this grassmannian in terms of the J-invariant is provided, as well as a complete motivic decomposition.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Chow K-rings 3
3. Isotropic hermitian forms 3
4. Split maximal unitary grassmannian 6
5. General maximal unitary grassmannian 11
6. The invariant $J(h)$ 14
7. Steenrod operations 16
8. Canonical dimension 17
9. Motivic decomposition 19
10. Comparison with quadratic forms 23
References 24

1. Introduction

Let F be an arbitrary field and K/F a quadratic separable field extension. In this article, we define a new discrete invariant $J(h)$ for a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form $h : V \times V \to K$. This invariant is developed on the model of the J-invariant for quadratic forms, due to Alexander Vishik, see [11], and later generalized to an arbitrary semi-simple algebraic group of inner type by V. Petrov, N. Semenov and K. Zainoulline in [8]. Let X denote the F-variety of maximal totally h-isotropic subspaces. The invariant $J(h)$ contains informations about rationality of algebraic cycles on X over a splitting field of h. The same way it was obtained by Nikita A. Karpenko and Alexander S. Merkurjev for

Date: 1 November 2016.

Key words and phrases. Hermitian and quadratic forms, grassmannians, Chow groups and motives. *Mathematical Subject Classification (2010):* 14C25; 11E39.

This work has been supported by CAPES, Coordination of the Development of the Superior Level Personnel - Brazil, in the framework of the Science Without Borders program with the Federal University of Amazonas (UFAM), Manaus.

maximal orthogonal grassmannian in the case of quadratic forms (see [2, Theorem 90.3]), the invariant $J(h)$ notably allows one to recover the canonical 2-dimension of the maximal unitary grassmannian X (Theorem 8.1).

In general, the J-invariant has several important applications. For example, A. Vishik used it in its refutation of the Kaplansky's conjecture on the u-invariant of a field (see [12]) and so did N. Semenov when he answered a question by J-P. Serre about groups of type E_8 (see [9]).

In the case of quadratic forms, the Chow motive of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian associated with a quadratic form splits as a sum of Tate motives over a splitting field of the quadratic form, the reason being that there is a nice filtration of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian by affine bundles. Because this does not stand in the case of hermitian forms, we use the structure Theorem [4, Theorem 15.8] by N. A. Karpenko and the modified Chow ring $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X) := \mathrm{Ch}(X)/\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{Ch}(X_K) \to \mathrm{Ch}(X))$, with $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$ the integral Chow ring $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ modulo 2. These considerations allow one to follow the method introduced by A. Vishik for quadratic forms to describe completely the ring $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$ when h is split (equivalently, when X has a rational point) and the subring $\operatorname{Im}\big(\mathrm{Ch}_K(X) \to \mathrm{Ch}_K(X_{F(X)})\big)$ of rational elements for arbitrary h, where $F(X)$ is the function field of X. We also work with the category of Chow K-*motives*, defined from Ch_K, and provide a complete motivic decomposition of the K-motive $M^K(X)$ of X in terms of the J-invariant $J(h)$ (Theorem 9.2). The K-motive $M^K(X)$ is related to the *essential motive* of X (see Remark 9.6).

By a Jacobson theorem (see [6, Corollary 9.2]), the non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form h is entirely determined by the associated F-quadratic form $q : v \mapsto h(v, v)$, with V considered as an F-vector space. Moreover, the F-quadratic forms arising this way from K/F-hermitian forms can be described as the tensor product of a non-degenerate bilinear form by the norm form of K/F, which is an anisotropic binary quadratic from. Conversely, an F-quadratic form defined by such a tensor product is isomorphic to the quadratic form arising from the hermitian form induced by the bilinear form and the quadratic separable field extension K/F given by the discriminant of the binary quadratic form. As explained by N. A. Karpenko in the introduction of [6], although these observations show that the study of hermitian forms is equivalent to the study of binary divisible quadratic forms, this does not show that the hermitian forms are not worthy of interest. Indeed, on the one hand, it shows that the class of binary divisible quadratic forms is quite important. On the other hand, it provides the opportunity to use the world of hermitian forms to study such quadratic forms, which can be more appropriate than staying exclusively at the level of quadratic forms, as illustrated by Proposition 10.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 3, we use the relative cellular space structure on X given by [4, Theorem 15.8] to get the relation of Proposition 3.6 between Chow rings Ch_K (defined in section 2) associated with the maximal unitary grassmannian of a hermitian subform of an isotropic K/F-hermitian form h. From section 4 to 8, we literally follow the thread of [2, §86 to §90]. In this part of the article, we first use the previously mentioned relation to get a complete description of $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$ in the split case in terms of generators and relations (Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 4.13), from which we deduce a description of the subring of rational elements in the general case in terms

of those generators (Theorem 5.7). The J-invariant $J(h)$ is then defined from the latter description. We also compute the Steenrod operations on X (Theorem 7.2) and obtain the canonical 2-dimension of X in terms of $J(h)$ (Theorem 8.1), on the model of [2, Theorem 90.3]. In section 9, using Rost Nilpotence, we provide the complete motivic decompostion of $M^K(X)$ in terms of $J(h)$ (Theorem 9.2), in the spirit of [8, Theorem 5.13]. In the final section 10, we compare the J-invariant $J(h)$ of a non-degenrate K/F-hermitian form h with the J-invariant $J(q)$ of the associated quadratic form q (Proposition 10.1).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I am grateful to Nikita A. Karpenko for having introduced me to the geometric theory of hermitian forms. Special thanks go to the anonymous referee for careful reading.

2. CHOW K-RINGS

Let F be an arbitrary field, K/F a quadratic separable field extension and X an F-variety (i.e. a separated F-scheme of finite type). We denote by $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$ the integral Chow ring $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ modulo 2.

We set

$$\mathrm{Ch}_K(X) := \mathrm{Ch}(X)/\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{Ch}(X_K) \to \mathrm{Ch}(X)\right),$$

where the homomorphism $\mathrm{Ch}(X_K) \to \mathrm{Ch}(X)$ is the push-forward of the projection $X_K \to X$.

Note that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{Ch}(X_K) \to \mathrm{Ch}(X)\right)$ is an ideal by the Projection Formula ([2, Proposition 56.9]), called the *norm ideal*, so that $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$ inherits the ring structure of the initial Chow ring. For example, one has $\mathrm{Ch}_K(\mathrm{Spec}(F)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and for any F-variety X, the ring $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X_K)$ is trivial. We write $(\varphi)_K$ for the Chow K-groups homomorphism associated with a Chow groups homomorphism φ.

Since the norm ideal is preserved by pull-backs and push-forwards, one can define the category of *K-motives* the same way as the category of Chow motives (see [2, Chapter XII]) but using the Chow rings Ch_K instead of the usual Chow rings CH. For a smooth complete F-variety X, we write $M^K(X)$ for the associated K-motive.

3. ISOTROPIC HERMITIAN FORMS

3.1. **Relative cellular space.** Let F be a field.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a smooth complete F-variety supplied with a filtration by closed subvarieties

$$\emptyset = X_{(-1)} \subset X_{(0)} \subset \cdots X_{(n)} = X.$$

The variety X is a *relative cellular space* over a smooth complete F-variety Y if the variety

$$\operatorname{Gr} X = \coprod_{k=0}^{n} X_{(k)} \backslash X_{(k-1)}$$

is a vector bundle over Y. The variety Y is called the *base* of X.

Remark 3.2. Let X be a relative cellular space over Y and let T be a smooth complete F-variety. Then $X \times T$ is a relative cellular space over $Y \times T$.

Remark 3.3. One can compose relative cellular structures ([4, Definition 7.4]). Let X be a relative cellular space over Y and suppose that Y is a relative cellular space over Z. Then X is a relative cellular space over Z: one considers the refinement of the filtration on X such that $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{new}}\, X$ for this new filtration coincides with the inverse image of $\mathrm{Gr}\, Y \subset Y$ with respect to the morphism $\mathrm{Gr}\, X \to Y$. The structure morphism is given by the composition

$$\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{new}}\, X \to \mathrm{Gr}\, Y \subset Y \to Z.$$

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over F. Let us denote by $\Gamma(V)$ the full grassmannian of F-subspaces. To an epimorphism $p : V \to V'$ of F-vector spaces, one can associate the filtration

$$\emptyset = \Gamma(V)_{(-1)} \subset \Gamma(V)_{(0)} \subset \cdots \Gamma(V)_{(\dim V')} = \Gamma(V)$$

on $\Gamma(V)$ defined as follows: for any local commutative F-algebra R and $0 \leq k \leq \dim V'$, one has

$$\Gamma(V)_{(k)}(R) = \{N \in \Gamma(V)(R) |\, \Lambda^{k+1}\left(p_R(N)\right) = 0\},$$

where $p_R : V_R \to V'_R$ is induced by p and Λ^{k+1} stands for the $(k+1)$-th exterior power.

Let $0 \to V'' \to V \to V' \to 0$ be an exact sequence of F-vector spaces. The result [4, Corollary 9.11] by N. A. Karpenko asserts that $\Gamma(V)$ supplied with the filtration associated with $V \to V'$ is a relative cellular space over $\Gamma(V'') \times \Gamma(V')$.

Moreover, let K/F be a quadratic separable field extension and suppose that V, V' and V'' are K-vector spaces. Then the previous relative cellular structure on $\Gamma(V)$ induces a relative cellular structure on the full grassmannian $\Gamma^K(V)$ of K-subspaces: $\Gamma^K(V)$ is a relative cellular space over $\Gamma^K(V'') \times \Gamma^K(V')$ ([4, Theorem 10.9]). The associated filtration is the refinement of the previous one by K-subspaces.

Suppose that the K-vector space V decomposes as a sum of K-subspaces $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$. Using the exact sequences

$$0 \to V_2 \oplus V_3 \to V \to V_1 \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \to V_2 \to V_2 \oplus V_3 \to V_3 \to 0,$$

the product and the composition of relative cellular structures (see Remarks 3.2 and 3.3), $\Gamma^K(V)$ is turned into a relative cellular space over $\Gamma^K(V_1) \times \Gamma^K(V_2) \times \Gamma^K(V_3)$ (described in [4, Example 10.14]).

Let $h : V \times V \to K$ be a non-degenerate isotropic K/F-hermitian form on V. Denote by $L \subset V$ an isotropic line and set $\tilde{V} = L^\perp/L$. Applying the observation of the previous paragraph to the decomposition $V = L \oplus L^* \oplus \tilde{V}$, one obtains that $\Gamma^K(V)$ is a relative cellular space over $\Gamma^K(L) \times \Gamma^K(L^*) \times \Gamma^K(\tilde{V})$.

Furthermore, by [4, Theorem 15.8], the latter relative cellular structure restricts to the h-isotropic subspaces in the following way. Let $\tilde{h}$ be the K/F-hermitian form on $\tilde{V}$ induced by h. Let Y and $\tilde{Y}$ be the F-varieties of totally isotropic subspaces of h and $\tilde{h}$ respectively. Let Z be the Weil transfer of the K-variety of 2-flags of K-vector subspaces of L with respect to the extension K/F (note that the 0-dimensional F-variety Z is the disjoint union of three copies of $\mathrm{Spec}(F)$ and three copies of $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$). Then Y is a relative cellular space over $Z \times \tilde{Y}$. The associated filtration is the refinement of the filtration associated

with the relative cellular space structure of $\Gamma^K(V)$ over $\Gamma^K(L) \times \Gamma^K(L^*) \times \Gamma^K(\tilde{V})$ by h-isotropic subspaces.

In the same article [4], the author proves that, in general, the Chow group of a relative cellular space is isomorphic to the Chow group of its base ([4, Theorem 6.5]) and he describes in [4, Corollary 6.11] how this isomorphism restricts to the irreducible components of the relative cellular space.

Applied to the previous situation, this gives the following. Let X be the F-variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces in h. The dimension of such a subspace is $r := \lfloor \dim(h)/2 \rfloor$. Note that X is an irreducible component of Y. Besides, the unitary grassmannian X is a projective homogeneous variety under a projective unitary group of outer type (see the introduction of [6]). We write $\tilde{X}$ for the maximal unitary grassmannian associated with $\tilde{h}$. Since maximal totally isotropic subspaces of $\tilde{V}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with those of V containing L, one can view $\tilde{X}$ as a closed subvariety of X. Let $i : \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow X$ denote the closed embedding. Let $\beta : \tilde{X} \rightsquigarrow X$ be the correspondence given by the scheme of pairs $(W/L, U)$, where U is a totally r-dimensional K-subspace of V, W is a totally isotropic r-dimensional subspace of $L^\perp$ containing L, and $\dim_K(U + W) \leq r + 1$ (correspondences are defined in [2, §62]). Then one has the following decomposition

$$\mathrm{Ch}^*(X) \simeq \mathrm{Ch}^*(\tilde{X}) \oplus \mathrm{Ch}^{*-d}(\tilde{X}) \oplus \left(\mathrm{Ch}^*\left(\mathrm{Spec}(K)\right)\right)^{\oplus a}, \tag{3.4}$$

where the injection $\mathrm{Ch}^*(\tilde{X}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^*(X)$ coincides with β_*, $d = \dim(X) - \dim(\tilde{X})$, the injection $\mathrm{Ch}^{*-d}(\tilde{X}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}^*(X)$ coincides with i_* and a is a nonnegative integer.

At the level of Chow K-groups (introduced in the previous section), decomposition (3.4) implies that

$$\mathrm{Ch}^*_K(X) \simeq \mathrm{Ch}^*_K(\tilde{X}) \oplus \mathrm{Ch}^{*-d}_K(\tilde{X}). \tag{3.5}$$

In particular, if h is split (i.e. hyperbolic or *almost hyperbolic* if the dimension of h is odd), one deduces by induction that $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 2^r.

We write j for the open embedding $X \backslash \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow X$ and we set

$$f := \beta^t \circ j,$$

with β^t the transpose of β.

Since $\mathrm{Im}(i_*) = \mathrm{Ker}(j^*)$ by the localization exact sequence (see [2, §52.D]), it follows from (3.5) that

$$\mathrm{Ch}^*_K(X) = \mathrm{Im}((\beta_*)_K) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\left((j^*)_K\right).$$

Hence, since j^* is surjective (see *loc. cit.*), we have obtained the following statement.

Proposition 3.6. *The homomorphism*

$$(f^*)_K : \mathrm{Ch}^*_K(\tilde{X}) \to \mathrm{Ch}^*_K(X \backslash \tilde{X}),$$

is an isomorphism.

The above proposition is crucial for the induction in the proof of Theorem 4.9 in the next section.

3.2. **Associated quadrics.** We use notation introduced in Subsection 3.1. Let $q : V \to F$, $v \mapsto h(v,v)$ be the non-degenerate F-quadratic form associated with h, where V is considered as an F-vector space. Note that $\dim(q) = 2\dim(h)$. We denote by Q the smooth projective quadric of q. Similarly, let $\tilde{q} : \tilde{V} \to F$ be the non-degenerate F-quadratic form associated with the hermitian form $\tilde{h}$ and let us denote by $\tilde{Q}$ the smooth projective quadric of $\tilde{q}$. Note that since $\tilde{q}$ is also the form induced by q on $P^\perp/P$, with P the q-isotropic F-plane corresponding to L, it is Witt-equivalent to q.

The *incidence correspondence* $\alpha : \tilde{Q} \rightsquigarrow Q$ is given by the scheme of pairs $(B/P, A)$ of isotropic F-lines in $P^\perp/P$ and V respectively with $A \subset B$. By [2, Lemma 72.3], for $k < i_0(q)$, one has $\alpha_*(\tilde{l}_{k-2}) = l_k$ and $\alpha^*(l_k) = \tilde{l}_{k-2}$, where l_k (resp. $\tilde{l}_k$) is the class in $\mathrm{CH}_k(Q)$ (resp. $\mathrm{CH}_k(\tilde{Q})$) of a k-dimensional totally q-isotropic (resp. $\tilde{q}$-isotropic) subspace of $\mathbb{P}_F(V)$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_F(\tilde{V})$). .

We write E for the vector bundle of rank $2r$ over X given by the closed subvariety of the trivial bundle $V\mathbb{1} = V \times X$ consisting of pairs (u, U) such that $u \in U$ (with V viewed as an F-vector space). Let us denote as $E^\perp$ the kernel of the natural morphism $V\mathbb{1} \to E^\vee$ given by the polar bilinear form associated with the quadratic form q, where $E^\vee$ is the dual bundle of E. If the dimension of h is even, one has $E^\perp = E$. Otherwise, E is a subbundle of $E^\perp$ of corank 2. For our purpose, the vector bundle E is the appropriate hermitian version of the the vector bundle used in [2, §86] for the case of quadratic forms.

The associated projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is a closed subvariety of codimension $2\lfloor(\dim(h)+1)/2\rfloor - 1$ of $Q \times X$. We write $\gamma = [\mathbb{P}(E)]$ in $\mathrm{CH}(Q \times X)$ and view it as a correspondence $Q \rightsquigarrow X$. Similarly, one can consider the correspondence $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{Q} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{X}$.

Lemma 3.7. *The diagram of correspondences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{Q} & \overset{\alpha}{\rightsquigarrow} & Q & \overset{\alpha^t}{\rightsquigarrow} & \tilde{Q} \\ {\scriptstyle\tilde{\gamma}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle\gamma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tilde{\gamma}} \\ \tilde{X} & \overset{\beta}{\rightsquigarrow} & X & \overset{i^t}{\rightsquigarrow} & \tilde{X} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. The proof is almost the same as in the case of quadratic forms, see [2, Lemma 86.7]. By [2, Corollary 57.22], it suffices to check the required identities at the level of cycles representing the correspondences. By definition of the composition of correspondences, the composition $\gamma \circ \alpha$ and $\beta \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ coincide with the cycle of the subscheme of $\tilde{Q} \times X$ consisting of all pairs $(B/P, U)$ with $\dim_F(B + U) \leq 2r + 2$ and the compositions $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \alpha^t$ and $i^t \circ \gamma$ coincide with the cycle of the subscheme of $Q \times \tilde{X}$ consisting of all pairs $(A, W/L)$ with $A \subset W$. □

4. Split maximal unitary grassmannian

We use notation introduced in Section 3.

In this section, we make the assumption that the non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form h is split and we provide a description of the Chow ring $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$ of the maximal unitary grassmannian in terms of generators and relations (Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 4.13).

The method is the one introduced by A. Vishik in [11] to get the description of the Chow ring modulo 2 of the maximal orthogonal grassmannian in the split case, except that we work with the Chow rings Ch_K and use Proposition 3.6 in replacement of the filtration by affine bundles on the maximal orthogonal grassmannian.

The exposition below closely follows the one given in the corresponding part of [2, §86] in the case of quadratic forms. The proofs are very similar to the original ones in [2, §86].

Let us write $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ or $2n+1$, with $n \geq 0$. Note that since $i_0(q) = 2i_0(h)$ (see [6, Lemma 9.1]), the quadratic form q is split if and only if h is of even dimension. In both cases, $\mathbb{P}(E)$ is a closed subvariety of $Q \times X$ of codimension $2n+1$.

If $\dim(h) = 2n+2$, there are unique elements $e_k \in \mathrm{CH}^k(X)$, $k \in [0,\ 2n+1]$ and $e'_0 \in \mathrm{CH}^0(X)$, satisfying

$$\gamma = l_{2n+1} \times e_0 + l'_{2n+1} \times e'_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} h^{2n+1-k} \times e_k \tag{4.1}$$

in $\mathrm{CH}^{2n+1}(Q \times X)$, where l_{2n+1}, l'_{2n+1} are the two different classes of $(2n+1)$-dimensional totally q-isotropic subspaces of $\mathbb{P}_F(V)$ and h^i is the i-th power of the pull-back $h^1 \in \mathrm{CH}^1(Q)$ of the hyperplane class in $\mathrm{CH}^1(\mathbb{P}_F(V))$ (see [2, Propositions 64.3, 68.1 and 68.2]). Note that since X is connected, pulling (4.1) back with respect to the canonical morphism $Q_{F(X)} \to Q \times X$, we see that one can choose an orientation of Q such that $e_0 = 1$ and $e'_0 = 0$.

Otherwise – If $\dim(h) = 2n+1$, there are unique elements $e_k \in \mathrm{CH}^k(X)$, $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ and $e_0 \in \mathrm{CH}^0(X)$, satisfying

$$\gamma = \gamma' + l_{2n-1} \times e_0 + \sum_{k=2}^{2n+1} h^{2n+1-k} \times e_k \tag{4.2}$$

in $\mathrm{CH}^{2n+1}(Q \times X)$, where γ' is a cycle such that, by denoting p the projection $p : Q \times X \to X$, one has $p_*\left((l_{2n+1-k} \times 1) \cdot \gamma'\right) = 0$ for any $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$. Pulling (4.2) back with respect to $Q_{F(X)} \to Q \times X$, one sees that $e_0 = 1$.

In both cases, the multiplication rule in the ring $\mathrm{CH}(Q)$ (see [2, Proposition 68.1]) gives that $e_k = p_*\left((l_{2n+1-k} \times 1) \cdot \gamma\right)$, for $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ and also for $k = 1$ if $\dim(h)$ is even. In other words, the correspondence $\gamma : Q \rightsquigarrow X$ is such that the induced push-forward $\gamma_* : \mathrm{CH}(Q) \to \mathrm{CH}(X)$ takes l_{2n+1-k} to e_k for $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ and also for $k = 1$ for h of even dimension.

By denoting $s : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$ and $t : \mathbb{P}(E) \to Q$ the two projections, this can be rewritten as

$$e_k = s_* \circ t^*(l_{2n+1-k}) \tag{4.3}$$

for $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ and also for $k = 1$ for h of even dimension (see [2, Proposition 62.7]).

Lemma 4.4. *One has $e_{2n+1} = [\tilde{X}]$ in $\mathrm{CH}^{2n+1}(X)$.*

Proof. On the one hand, by (4.3) one has $s_* \circ t^*(l_0) = e_{2n+1}$. On the other hand, by denoting A a closed point of Q such that $l_0 = [A]$, the cycle $s_* \circ t^*(l_0)$ is identified with

$[\{U \mid A \subset U\}] = [\{U \mid A \otimes_F K \subset U\}]$ in $\mathrm{CH}^{2n+1}(X)$. The latter algebraic cycle is equal to $[\{U \mid L \subset U\}] = [\tilde{X}]$. □

Let us denote by $\tilde{e}_k \in \mathrm{CH}^k(\tilde{X})$ the elements given by (4.1) or (4.2) for $\tilde{X}$. As before, the correspondence $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{Q} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{X}$ is such that $\tilde{\gamma}_*(\tilde{l}_{2n-1-k}) = \tilde{e}_k$ for the appropriate integers k, with respect to the parity of $\dim(h)$.

The following statement is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 4.5. *One has $\beta_*(\tilde{e}_k) = e_k$ and $i^*(e_k) = \tilde{e}_k$ for all $k \in [0,\ 2n-1]\backslash\{1\}$ and also for $k = 1$ for h of even dimension.*

Proof. The equality $\beta_*(1) = 1$ follows immediatly from the definition of β. For the appropriate $k \geq 1$, one has

$$\beta_*(\tilde{e}_k) = \beta_* \circ \tilde{\gamma}_*(\tilde{l}_{2n-1-k}) = \gamma_* \circ \alpha_*(\tilde{l}_{2n-1-k}) = \gamma_*(\tilde{l}_{2n+1-k}) = e_k,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} i^*(e_k) = i^t_*(e_k) = i^t_* \circ \gamma_*(l_{2n+1-k}) = \tilde{\gamma}_* \circ \alpha^t_*(l_{2n+1-k}) = &\ \tilde{\gamma}_* \circ \alpha^*(l_{2n+1-k}) \\ = &\ \tilde{\gamma}_*(\tilde{l}_{2n-1-k}) = \tilde{e}_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

For $I \subset [0,\ 2n+1]$ or $I \subset [0,\ 2n+1]\backslash\{1\}$, depending on whether the dimension of h is respectively even or odd, we write e_I for the product of e_k for all $k \in I$. Similarly, one defines the elements $\tilde{e}_J$ for $J \subset [0,\ 2n-1]$ or $J \subset [0,\ 2n-1]\backslash\{1\}$.

Corollary 4.6. *One has $i_*(\tilde{e}_J) = e_J \cdot e_{2n+1} = e_{J\cup\{2n+1\}}$ for every $J \subset [0,\ 2n-1]$ or for every $J \subset [0,\ 2n-1]\backslash\{1\}$, depending on whether the dimension of h is respectively even or odd.*

Proof. Since one has $i^*(e_J) = \tilde{e}_J$ by Lemma 4.5, it follows from Lemma 4.4 and the Projection Formula that

$$i_*(\tilde{e}_J) = i_*\left(i^*(e_J) \cdot 1\right) = e_J \cdot i_*(1) = e_J \cdot e_{2n+1} = e_{J\cup\{2n+1\}}.$$

□

Let us denote by I_{od} the odd part of a set of integers I.

Corollary 4.7. *For h of even dimension, the monomial $e_{[0,\ 2n+1]_{od}} = e_1 e_3 \cdots e_{2n+1}$ is the class of a rational point in $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$. For h of odd dimension, the monomial $e_{[0,\ 2n+1]\backslash\{1\}_{od}} = e_3 e_5 \cdots e_{2n+1}$ is the class of a rational point in $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$.*

Proof. We induct on n. If $\dim(h) = 2$, one has $\tilde{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(F)$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.4, the cycle $e_1 \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ is the class of a rational point. If $\dim(h) = 1$, one has $X = \mathrm{Spec}(F)$. The conclusion follows now from the formulas $e_{[0,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}} = i_*(\tilde{e}_{[0,\ 2n-1]_{\mathrm{od}}})$ for h of even dimension and $e_{[0,\ 2n+1]\backslash\{1\}_{\mathrm{od}}} = i_*(\tilde{e}_{[0,\ 2n-1]\backslash\{1\}_{\mathrm{od}}})$ for h of odd dimension. □

Lemma 4.8. *One has $f^*(\tilde{e}_J) = j^*(e_J)$ for any $J \subset [0,\ 2n-1]$ or for any $J \subset [0,\ 2n-1]\backslash\{1\}$, depending on whether the dimension of h is respectively even or odd.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $f^*(\tilde{e}_k) = j^*(e_k)$ for the appropriate k. Since $f = \beta^t \circ j$, it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $f^*(\tilde{e}_k) = j^* \circ (\beta^t)^*(\tilde{e}_k) = j^* \circ \beta_*(\tilde{e}_k) = j^*(e_k)$. □

Now, one can prove the following theorem the same way [2, Theorem 86.12] has been proven for the case of quadratic forms. We still write e_I for the class in $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let K/F be a quadratic separable field extension and h a split non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form. Let X be the variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces in h. If h is of even dimension $2n+2$ then the set of all 2^{n+1} monomials $e_{I_{od}}$ for all subsets $I \subset [0,\ 2n+1]$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$. Otherwise – if h is of odd dimension $2n+1$ – the set of all 2^n monomials $e_{I_{od}}$ for all subsets $I \subset [0,\ 2n+1]\backslash\{1\}$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$.*

Proof. We induct on n. If $\dim(h) = 2$, the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathrm{Ch}^1_K(X)$ is of rank 1 (see decomposition (3.5)). Since i_* is injective, the statement follows from Lemma 4.4. If $\dim(h) = 1$, the statement is obviously true.

Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_K(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{(i_*)_K} \mathrm{Ch}_K(X) \xrightarrow{(j^*)_K} \mathrm{Ch}_K(X\backslash\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

associated with the localization exact sequence for classical Chow groups. In both cases, by the induction hypothesis and Corollary 4.6, the set of monomials $e_{I_{od}}$ for all I containing $2n+1$ is a basis of the image of $(i_*)_K$. On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 4.8, Proposition 3.6 and the induction hypothesis that in both cases the set of all the elements $(j^*)_K(e_{I_{od}})$ with $2n+1 \notin I$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X\backslash\tilde{X})$. The conclusion follows. □

We compute the Chern classes of the vector bundle E over X.

Proposition 4.10. *One has $c_k(E) = (-1)^k 2e_k$ and $c_k(V\mathbb{1}/E) = 2e_k$ in $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ for all $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ and also for $k = 1$ for h of even dimension.*

Proof. Let $s : Q \to \mathbb{P}_F(V)$ be the closed embedding. Let H denote the class of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}_F(V)$. One has $s_*(h^k) = 2H^{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 0$.

Assume first that $\dim(h) = 2n+2$. It follows then from (4.1) that

$$[\mathbb{P}(E)] = s_*(l_{2n+1}) \times 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} 2H^{2n+2-k} \times e_k$$

in $\mathrm{CH}^{2n+2}(\mathbb{P}_F(V) \times X)$.

On the other hand, by [2, Proposition 58.10] applied to the subbundle E of $V\mathbb{1}$, one has

$$[\mathbb{P}(E)] = \sum_{k=0}^{2n+2} H^{2n+2-k} \times c_k(V\mathbb{1}/E).$$

Moreover, by the Projective Bundle Theorem (see [2, Theorem 53.10]), the decomposition of $[\mathbb{P}(E)]$ as $\sum_{k=0}^{2n+2} H^{2n+2-k} \times \alpha_k$ is unique. Therefore, one has $c_k(V\mathbb{1}/E) = 2e_k$ in $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ for all $k \in [1,\ 2n+1]$.

In the case $\dim(h) = 2n+1$, since for all $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ the condition

$$p_*\left((l_{2n+1-k} \times 1) \cdot \gamma'\right) = 0$$

in (4.2) implies that the element β_k in the decomposition

$$(s \times \mathrm{Id}_X)_*(\gamma') = \sum_{k=0}^{2n+2} H^{2n+2-k} \times \beta_k$$

given by the Projective Bundle Theorem applied to $(s \times \mathrm{Id}_X)_*(\gamma')$ is zero, one can proceed the same way and get that $c_k(V\mathbb{1}/E) = 2e_k$ in $\mathrm{CH}(X)$ for all $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$.

Furthermore, by duality, one has $V\mathbb{1}/E^\perp \simeq E^\vee$. For h of even dimension, since $E^\perp = E$, one get that $c(E^\vee) = c(V\mathbb{1}/E)$ and the conclusion follows.

For h of odd dimension, $E^\perp/E$ is a rank 2 vector bundle on X such that $c(E^\vee) \circ c(E^\perp/E) = c(V\mathbb{1}/E)$ and it suffices to prove that $c_i(E^\perp/E) = 0$ in $\mathrm{CH}^i(X)$ (for $i = 1, 2$) to get the conclusion. To do so, we use a filtration of $E^\perp/E$ with appropriate factor line bundles L_a, L_b. Since $i_0(q) = 2n$, the quadratic form q has an orthogonal decompostion of the form

$$2n\mathbb{H} \perp \langle a \rangle \perp \langle b \rangle,$$

for some $a, b \in F^*$ (with $\langle a \rangle \perp \langle b \rangle$ anisotropic) and we write V' for the underlying vector space of the codimension 1 subform $2n\mathbb{H} \perp \langle a \rangle$. Let us denote by $E'^\perp$ the corank 1 subbundle of $E^\perp$ consisting of pairs (u, U) such that $u \in U^\perp \cap V'$. We set $L_a = E/E'^\perp \subset E/E^\perp$. Note that the factor line bundle $(E/E^\perp)/L_a$ is identified with the line L_b associated with the subform $2n\mathbb{H} \perp \langle b \rangle$. Hence, by [2, Proposition 54.6], one has $c_1(E^\perp/E) = c_1(L_a) + c_1(L_b)$ and $c_2(E^\perp/E) = c_1(L_a) \cdot c_1(L_b)$ in $\mathrm{CH}(X)$. Furthermore, since each of the lines L_a and L_b carries a non-degenrate quadratic form, these are isomorphic to their duals. Consequently, the isomorphism classes of L_a and L_b are 2-torsion elements in the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. Moreover, since X is a projective homogeneous variety under a semisimple algebraic group, the group $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is torsion free (see [7, §2]). The proposition is now completely proven. □

Remark 4.11. The above proposition shows in particular that for a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form h that is not necessarily split, the algebraic cycles $2e_k$ (for the appropriate integers k, with respect to the parity of $\dim(h)$), that are a priori defined over a splitting field of h, are actually defined over F, i.e. these are always rational elements.

We set $e_k = 0$ for $k > 2n+1$. Since the K/F- hermitian form h is split, the integral Chow group CH(X) is torsion-free (this is obtained as a consequence of the motivic decomposition general result of [1] and [4]). Hence, since $c(V\mathbb{1}/E) \circ c(E) = c(V\mathbb{1}) = 1$, it follows from Proposition 4.10 that

$$e_k^2 - 2e_{k-1}e_{k+1} + 2e_{k-2}e_{k+2} - \cdots + (-1)^{k-1}2e_1e_{2k-1} + (-1)^k e_{2k} = 0$$

in $\mathrm{CH}(X)$, for all $k \geq 2$ and also for $k = 1$ for h of even dimension. Therefore, one has

$$e_k^2 = e_{2k} \tag{4.12}$$

in $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$, for all $k \geq 2$ and also for $k = 1$ for h of even dimension.

We are now able to determine the multiplicative structure for the ring $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$ the same way it has been done for the case of quadratic forms.

Proposition 4.13. *The equalities $e_k^2 = e_{2k}$ in $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$, for all odd $k > 2$ and also for $k = 1$ for h of even dimension, form a set of defining relations between the generators e_k of the ring $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$.*

Proof. Assume first that h is of even dimension. Let A be the factor ring of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ modulo the ideal generated polynomials giving relation (4.12). Then the ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \to \mathrm{Ch}_K(X)$ taking t_i to e_{2i+1} is well defined. Furthermore, it follows from Theorem 4.9 that φ is surjective. Consequently, since A is clearly generated by the classes of the basic monomials $t_0^{r_0} t_1^{r_1} \cdots t_n^{r_n}$ (i.e., with $r_k = 0$ or 1 for every k), one get that φ is an isomorphism. One proceeds the same way for h of odd dimension, except there is no variable t_0. □

5. General maximal unitary grassmannian

In this section, we use notation introduced in the previous sections. We do not make any assumption on the isotropy of the non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form h.

For any scheme Y over F, we write $\bar{Y}$ for $Y \times \operatorname{Spec} F(X)$, where $F(X)$ is the function field of X (note that $K \otimes_F F(X)$ is still a field). Following the path set by A. Vishik in [11], we describe the subring,

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X) := \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{Ch}_K(X) \to \mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X})\right).$$

of *rational* elements, c.f. Theorem 4.7 (actually, this description does not depend on the choice of a splitting field of h which does not split K). We use similar notation and vocabulary for classical Chow rings and certain products of F-varieties.

The exposition in this section follows literally the thread of [2, §87]. The proofs are very similar and sometimes identical (the proof of Theorem 4.7 compared to the original [2, Theorem 87.7] for example).

Let Y be a smooth proper scheme over F and $g : Y \to X$ be a morphism. We set $E' = g^*(E)$ (with E the vector bundle defined in §3.2) and consider $\mathbb{P}(E')$ as a closed subscheme of $Q \times Y$.

Proposition 5.1. *The $\mathrm{CH}(Y)$-module $\mathrm{CH}(Q \times Y)$ is free with basis h^k, $h^k \cdot [\mathbb{P}(E')]$, with $k \in [0,\ 2n+1]$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ and $k \in [0,\ 2n-1]$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$.*

Proof. First, we want to show that the restriction $f : T = (Q \times Y) \backslash \mathbb{P}(E') \to \mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1} \backslash E'^{\perp})$ of the natural morphism $\mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(E'^{\perp}) \to \mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1} \backslash E'^{\perp})$, where $V\mathbb{1}$ is the trivial vector bundle $V \times Y$ over Y, is an affine bundle in both even and odd cases. To do so, by criterion [2, Lemma 52.12], it suffices to prove that the fiber over any R local commutative F-algebra is isomorphic to an affine space over R.

Let R be a local commutative F-algebra. An F-morphism

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) \to \mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1} \backslash E'^{\perp})$$

determines a pair (U, W), where:

– if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$, U is a totally isotropic direct summand of V_R of rank $2n+2$ and W is a direct summand of V_R of rank $2n+3$ containing $U^{\perp} = U$;

– otherwise – if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$ – U is a totally isotropic direct summand of V_R of rank $2n$ and W is a direct summand of V_R of rank $2n+3$ containing $U^\perp$ (which is of rank $2n+2$).

One can choose an R-basis of W such that the restriction of the quadratic form q on $W/W^\perp$ has an appropriate writing. Indeed, if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$, since $\operatorname{rank} W^\perp = 2n+1$, one can find an R-basis of W such that $q_{|W/W^\perp} = xy + ay^2$ for some $a \in R$ and U is given by $y = 0$. Therefore, the fiber $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \times_{\mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1}\backslash E'^\perp)} T$ is given by the equation

$$x/y = a$$

over R and hence is isomorphic to an affine space over R. Otherwise – if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$ – since $\operatorname{rank} W^\perp = 2n-1$, one can find an R-basis of W such that $q_{|W/W^\perp} = y^2 + z^2 + at^2 + bxt + cyt + dzt$ for some $a, b, c, d \in R$, with $b \neq 0$, and U is given by $y = z = t = 0$. Therefore, the fiber $\operatorname{Spec}(R) \times_{\mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1}\backslash E'^\perp)} T$ is given by the equation

$$(y/t)^2 + (z/t)^2 + b(x/t) + c(y/t) + d(z/t) = a$$

over R and hence is also isomorphic to an affine space over R.

Consequently, by criterion [2, Lemma 52.12], f is an affine bundle.

Thus, in both cases, the variety $Q \times Y$ has the structure of a cellular scheme and, in particular, one has a split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{P}(E')) \xrightarrow{i_*} \mathrm{CH}(Q \times Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}(T) \longrightarrow 0$$

and the isomorphism

$$f^* : \mathrm{CH}(\mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1}/E'^\perp)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CH}(T)$$

(c.f. the proof of [2, Theorem 66.2]).

On the one hand, the restriction of the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{P}_F(V)$ to $Q \times Y$ and $\mathbb{P}(E')$ are also canonical line bundles. It follows from the Projective Bundle Theorem (see [2, Theorem 53.10]) and the Projection Formula that the image of i_* is a free $\mathrm{CH}(Y)$-module with basis $h^k \cdot [\mathbb{P}(E')]$, with $k \in [0,\ 2n+1]$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ and $k \in [0,\ 2n-1]$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$.

On the other hand, the pull-back with respect to f of the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1}/E'^\perp)$ coincides with the restriction to T of the canonical bundle on $Q \times Y$. It follows from the Projective Bundle Theorem that $\mathrm{CH}(T)$ is a free $\mathrm{CH}(Y)$-module with basis the restrictions of h^k, with $k \in [0,\ 2n+1]$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ and $k \in [0,\ 2n-1]$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$, on T. The conclusion follows. □

Remark 5.2. The proof of Propositon 5.1 provides, in both even and odd cases, the following integral Chow motivic decomposition (see also [2, Remark 87.2])

$$M(Q \times Y) = M(\mathbb{P}(E')) \oplus M\left(\mathbb{P}(V\mathbb{1}\backslash E'^\perp)\right)(2n+1).$$

We consider the elements $e_k \in \mathrm{CH}^k(\bar{X})$ introduced in the previous section.

Corollary 5.3. *The elements* $(e_k \times 1) + (1 \times e_k)$ *in* $\mathrm{CH}(\bar{X}^2)$ *are rational for all* $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ *and also for* $k = 1$ *if* $\dim(h) = 2n+2$.

Proof. Let E_1 and E_2 be the two pull-backs of E on X^2. Assume first that $\dim(h) = 2n+2$. Pulling back decomposition (4.1) to $\bar{Q} \times \bar{X}^2$, one get in $\mathrm{CH}(\bar{Q} \times \bar{X}^2)$:

$$[\mathbb{P}(E_1)] = l_{2n+1} \times 1 \times 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} h^{2n+1-k} \times e_k \times 1,$$

$$[\mathbb{P}(E_2)] = l_{2n+1} \times 1 \times 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} h^{2n+1-k} \times 1 \times e_k.$$

Therefore, the cycle

$$[\mathbb{P}(E_1)] - [\mathbb{P}(E_2)] = \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} h^{2n+1-k} \times (e_k \times 1 - 1 \times e_k)$$

is rational. Applying Proposition 5.1 to the variety X^2, one get that the cycles $(e_k \times 1 - 1 \times e_k)$ are also rational. To complete the proof of the even case, note that by Proposition 4.10, the cycles $2e_k$ are rational.

Assume now $\dim(h) = 2n + 1$. Since the cycle γ' appearing in the decomposition (4.2) is such that $p_* ((l_{2n+1-k} \times 1) \cdot \gamma') = 0$ for $k \in [2,\ 2n + 1]$, where p is the projection $Q \times X \to X$, Proposition 5.1 applied to the variety X gives that γ' is always rational. Consecutively, using the same reasoning as in the even case, one get that the cycle

$$\sum_{k=2}^{2n+1} h^{2n+1-k} \times (e_k \times 1 - 1 \times e_k)$$

is rational as well and one concludes as in the even case. □

For every subset $I \subset [1,\ 2n + 1]_{\mathrm{od}}$, with $1 \notin I$ if $\dim(h) = 2n + 1$, the rational correspondence

$$x_I = \prod_{k \in I} ((e_k \times 1) + (1 \times e_k)) \ \text{ in } \ \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}(X^2) \tag{5.4}$$

defines an endomorphism $(x_I)_*$ of $\mathrm{Ch}(\bar{X})$ taking $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}(X)$ onto $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}(X)$.

Lemma 5.5. *For any subsets $I, J \subset [1,\ 2n + 1]_{\mathrm{od}}$, with $1 \notin I \cup J$ if $\dim(h) = 2n + 1$, one has in $\mathrm{Ch}(\bar{X})$*

$$(x_J)_*(e_I) = \begin{cases} e_{I \cap J} & \text{if } I \cup J = [1,\ 2n + 1]_{\mathrm{od}}\ , \ \text{ or } \ I \cup J = [2,\ 2n + 1]_{\mathrm{od}} \\ & \text{for odd } h; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume that $\dim(h) = 2n + 2$. One has $x_J = \sum e_{J_1} \times e_{J_2}$, where the sum is taken over all subsets J_1 and J_2 of $[1,\ 2n + 1]_{\mathrm{od}}$ such that J is the disjoint union of J_1 and J_2. Thus,

$$(x_J)_*(e_I) = \sum \deg(e_I \cdot e_{J_1}) e_{J_2},$$

where $\deg : \mathrm{Ch}(\bar{X}) \to \mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{Spec}\, F(X)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the homomorphism associated with the push-forward of the structure morphism.

Therefore, it suffices to show that for any subsets $I, J_1 \subset [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}$, one has

$$\deg(e_I \cdot e_{J_1}) = \begin{cases} 1 \mod 2 & \text{if } J_1 = [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}} \backslash I\ ; \\ 0 \mod 2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

to get the conclusion. If $J_1 = [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}} \backslash I$, the product $e_I \cdot e_{J_1} = e_{[1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_0(\bar{X})$ is the class of a rational point by Corollary 4.7, hence $\deg(e_I \cdot e_{J_1}) = 1 \mod 2$. Otherwise, in $\mathrm{Ch}(\bar{X})$, using the relations (4.12), one get that $e_I \cdot e_{J_1}$ is either zero or some monomial e_L for some L different from $[1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}$ and it follows that $\deg(e_I \cdot e_{J_1}) = 0 \mod 2$. The proof in the odd case is similar. □

Theorem 5.7. *Let K/F be a quadratic separable field extension and h a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form of dimension $2n+2$ or $2n+1$. Let X be the variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces in h. Then the ring $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$ is generated by all e_k, $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}} \cup \{0\}$ and also $k = 1$ for h of even dimension, such that $e_k \in \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\dim(h) = 2n+2$. One knows from Theorem 4.9 that every element $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$ can be written in the form $\alpha = \sum a_I e_I$, with $I \subset [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}$ and $a_I \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore, it suffices to show that for every I satisfying $a_I = 1$, one has $e_k \in \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$ for any $k \in I$. To do so, one may assume that α is homogeneous. We use an induction on the number of nonzero coefficients of α. Choose I with largest $|I|$ such that $a_I = 1$, $k \in I$, and set $J = ([1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}} \backslash I) \cup \{k\}$. By Lemma 5.5, one has $(x_J)_*(\alpha) = e_k$ or $1 + e_k$. Indeed, if $a_{I'} = 1$ for some $I' \subset [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}$ with $I' \cup J = [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}$, then either $I' = [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}} \backslash J$ and hence $(x_J)_*(e_{I'}) = e_\emptyset = 1$ or $I' = ([1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}} \backslash J) \cup \{l\}$ for some odd l. But since α is homogeneous, we must have $l = k$. Consequently, one has $I' = I$ and $(x_J)_*(e_{I'}) = e_k$. We have proven that $e_k \in \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$ for all $k \in I$. Thus, one has $e_I \in \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$ and $\alpha - e_I \in \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$. By the induction hypothesis, every element e_k appearing in the decomposition of $\alpha - e_I$ is rational and it is therefore so for α. This concludes the even case and the odd case can be treated similarly. □

6. The invariant $J(h)$

In this section, we define a new invariant of non-degenerate K/F-hermitian forms on the model of the J-invariant for non-degenerate quadratic forms defined by A. Vishik in [11] (althoug this section follows the thread of [2, §88], where the latter is defined in the "opposite way").

Let h be a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form of dimension $2n+2$ or $2n+1$ and X the variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces in h. We use notation introduced in the previous sections. We still denote by e_k the generators of $\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X})$. The discrete *J-invariant* $J(h)$ is defined as follows:

$$J(h) = \left\{ k \in [1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}} \quad \text{with} \quad e_k \in \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X) \right\}.$$

Recall that e_1 is not defined for h of dimension $2n+1$.

For a subset $I \subset [1,\ 2n+1]$ let us denote by $||I||$ the sum of all $k \in I$.

Proposition 6.1. *The smallest dimension i such that $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_{K_i}(X) \neq 0$ is equal to*

$$\dim(X) - ||J(h)||.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.7, the product of all e_k satisfying $k \notin J(h)$ is a non-trivial element of $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$ of the smallest dimension equal to $\dim(X) - ||J(h)||$. □

Proposition 6.2. *A non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form h is split if and only if $J(h)$ is maximal.*

Proof. If h is split then the fact that $J(h)$ is maximal follows from the definition. Suppose that $J(h)$ is maximal. Then all the e_k are rational and it follows from Corollary 4.7 that the class of a rational point of $\bar{X}$ belongs to $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_{K\,0}(X)$. Consequently, the variety X has a closed point x of odd degree. Combining the fact that the residue field $F(x)$ is a splitting field of h with Springer's Theorem for quadrics, one get the identities

$$\lfloor \dim(h)/2 \rfloor = i_0(h_{F(x)}) = i_0(q_{F(x)})/2 = i_0(q)/2 = i_0(h).$$

Therefore h is split. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $h = \tilde{h} \perp \mathbb{H}$. Then $J(h) = J(\tilde{h}) \cup \{2n+1\}$.*

Proof. Since $e_{2n+1} = [\tilde{X}]$ (see Lemma 4.4), one has $2n+1 \in J(h)$. Let $i \leq 2n-1$. It follows from decomposition (3.5) (where $d = 2n+1$) that $\mathrm{Ch}^i_K(X) \simeq \mathrm{Ch}^i_K(\tilde{X})$ and e_i corresponds to $\tilde{e}_i$ by Lemma 4.8. Hence $e_i \in J(h)$ if and only if $\tilde{e}_i \in J(\tilde{h})$. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let h and h' be Witt-equivalent K/F-hermitian forms with $h \simeq h' \perp j\mathbb{H}$. Then $J(h) = J(h') \cup \{2n+1, 2n-1, \ldots, 2n+1-2(j-1)\}$.*

Let us denote by X_1 the F-variety of isotropic K-lines in V (i.e. the hermitian quadric of h).

Lemma 6.5. *The change of field homomorphism $\mathrm{Ch}^i_K(X) \to \mathrm{Ch}^i_K(X_{F(X_1)})$ is surjective for any $i \leq 2n$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ and for any $i \leq 2n-1$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$.*

Proof. One can obtain a version of the result [2, Lemma 88.5] of N. A. Karpenko and A. S. Merkurjev for Chow groups Ch_K instead of classical Chow groups by considering the cohomology theory associated with the cycle module given by $E \mapsto K^M_*(E)/N_*(E)$ for any field extension E/F, where $N_j(E)$ is the subgroup of the Milnor group $K^M_j(E)$ generated by the norms from finite field extensions of E that split the étale algebra K, instead of the cohomology theory associated with $E \mapsto K^M_*(E)$.

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that for any $x \in X$ the change of field homomorphism $\mathrm{Ch}^i_K(X_1) \to \mathrm{Ch}^i_K(X_{1\,F(x)})$ is surjective, for any $i \leq 2n$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ and for any $i \leq 2n-1$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$, to get the conclusion.

It follows from the Chow motivic decomposition with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients [6, Corollary 7.3] (which is also a consequence of [4, Theorem 15.8]) that

$$\mathrm{Ch}_K(X_1) \simeq \mathrm{Ch}_K(M_1), \tag{6.6}$$

where M_1 is the *essential motive* of X_1. Furthermore, by [10, Theorem C], one has the following Chow motivic decomposition with $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients

$$M(Q) \simeq M_1 \oplus M_1(1), \tag{6.7}$$

where Q is the smooth projective quadric associated with the non-degenerate quadratic form $q : V \to F$, $v \mapsto h(v,v)$.

Combining (6.6) with (6.7), we see that it suffices to show that for any $x \in X$ the change of field homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ch}^i_K(Q) \to \mathrm{Ch}^i_K(Q_{F(x)}) \tag{6.8}$$

is surjective, for any $i \leq 2n$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ and for any $i \leq 2n-1$ if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$, to get the conclusion. In fact, (6.8) is already surjective at the level of integral Chow groups. Indeed, since $F(x)$ is a splitting field of the hermitan form h, one has $i_0(q_{F(x)}) = 2n+2$ or $2n$ depending on whether $\dim(h)$ is respectively even or odd. Therefore, the group $\mathrm{CH}^i(Q_{F(x)})$ is generated by h^i (always rational) for $i \leq 2n$ or $i \leq 2n-1$ depending on whether $\dim(h)$ is respectively even or odd (see [3, §1] for example).

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 6.9. $J(h) \subset J(h_{F(X_1)}) \subset J(h) \cup \{2n+1\}$.

The following proposition relates the set $J(h)$ and the absolute Witt indices of h. It follows from Corollaries 6.4 and 6.9.

Proposition 6.10. *Let h be a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form of dimension $2n+2$ or $2n+1$ with height $\mathfrak{h}(h)$. Then $J(h)$ contains the complementary of the set*

$$\{2n+1-2j_0(h), 2n+1-2j_1(h), \ldots, 2n+1-2j_{\mathfrak{h}(h)-1}(h)\}$$

in $[1,\ 2n+1]_{od}$, excluding 1 for h of odd dimension. In particular, $|J(h)| \geq n - \mathfrak{h}(h)$ and the inequality is strict for h of even dimension.

7. Steenrod operations

Let h be a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form on V of dimension $2n+2$ or $2n+1$ and let X be the variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces in h. This section is the hermitian replica of [2, §89], where we compute the Steenrod operations on $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$. Note that the result is exactly the same as the one obtained by A. Vishik in the case of quadratic forms.

Let Q be the projective quadric of $q : V \to F$, $v \mapsto h(v,v)$, and let E be the vector bundle over X defined in §3.2. Let $s : \mathbb{P}(E) \to X$ and $t : \mathbb{P}(E) \to Q$ be the projections. There is an exact sequence of vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$:

$$0 \to \mathbb{1} \to L_c \otimes s^*(E) \to T_s \to 0,$$

where L_c is the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$ and T_s is the relative tangent bundle of s (see [2, Example 104.20]). Note that L_c is the pull-back with respect to t of the canonical line bundle over Q, hence $c(L_c) = 1 + t^*(h^1)$, where $h^1 \in \mathrm{CH}^1(Q)$ is the class of the hyperplane section of Q. By Proposition 4.10, $c_i(E)$ is divisible by 2 for all $i > 0$. Therefore, one has

$$c(T_s) = c\left(L_c \otimes s^*(E)\right) = c\left(L_c \otimes \mathbb{1}^{2n+1}\right) = c(L_c)^{2n+1} = \left(1 + t^*(h^1)\right)^{2n+1} \tag{7.1}$$

in $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$.

Theorem 7.2. *Assume* $\mathrm{char}(F) \neq 2$. *Let* h *be a non-degenerate* K/F*-hermitian form of dimension* $2n+2$ *or* $2n+1$ *and* X *the variety of maximal totally isotropic subspaces in* h. *Then the Steenrod operation* $S_X : \mathrm{Ch}(X) \to \mathrm{Ch}(X)$ *of cohomological type satisfies*

$$S_X^i(e_k) = \binom{k}{i} e_{k+i}$$

in $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$, *for all* i *and* $k \in [2,\ 2n+1]$ *and also for* $i = k = 1$ *if the dimension of* h *is even.*

Proof. By [2, Corollary 78.5], one has $S_Q(l_{2n+1-k}) = (1+h)^{2n+1+k} \cdot l_{2n+1-k}$. It follows from (4.3), (7.1) and [2, Theorem 61.9 and Proposition 61.10] that one has

$$\begin{aligned} S_X(e_k) &= S_X \circ s_* \circ t^*(l_{2n+1-k}) \\ &= s_* \circ c(-T_s) \circ S_{\mathbb{P}(E)} \circ t^*(l_{2n+1-k}) \\ &= s_* \left((1+t^*(h))^{-2n-1} \cdot t^* \circ S_Q(l_{2n+1-k}) \right) \\ &= s_* \circ t^* \left((1+h^1)^{-2n-1} \cdot (1+h)^{2n+1+k} \cdot l_{2n+1-k} \right) \\ &= s_* \circ t^* \left((1+h^1)^k \cdot l_{2n+1-k} \right) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{k}{i} s_* \circ t^*(l_{2n+1-k-i}) \\ &= \textstyle\sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{k}{i} e_{k+i} \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$. □

8. Canonical dimension

In this section, we compute the canonical 2-dimension $\mathrm{cdim}_2(X)$ of the maximal unitary grassmannian X associated with a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form h in terms of the J-invariant $J(h)$.

We recall the definition of the canonical 2-dimension of a variety (see [5] for an introduction on canonical dimension and for a more geometric definition).

Let X be an F-variety. An isotropy field L of X is an extension L/F such that $X(L) \neq \emptyset$ (note that if X is a maximal unitary grassmannian then this is the same thing as a splitting field of the corresponding hermitian form h).

An isotropy field E is called 2-*generic* if for any isotropy field L there is an F-place $E \rightharpoonup L'$ for some finite extension L'/L of odd degree (see [2, §103] for an introduction to F-places). For example, the function field $F(X)$ is 2-generic (because it is generic).

The *canonical* 2-*dimension* $\mathrm{cdim}_2(X)$ of X is the minimum of the transcendence degree $\mathrm{tr.deg}_F(E)$ over all 2-generic field extensions E/F. If X is smooth then $\mathrm{cdim}_2(X) \leq \dim(X)$. One says that X is 2-*incompressible* if $\mathrm{cdim}_2(X) = \dim(X)$.

The proof of the theorem below is a very slight modification of the corresponding one for quadratic forms, see [2, Theorem 90.3]. Namely, at some point, one just has to consider the Chow rings Ch_K. Nevertheless, we write down this modified version.

The two following facts about F-places are used in the proof (contained in [2, §103]).

One can compose F-places. In particular, any F-place $E \rightharpoonup L$ can be restricted to a subfield E' of E (since field extensions are places).

For any complete F-variety Y equipped with an F-place $\pi : F(Y) \rightharpoonup L$, there is a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(L) \to Y$. The image $\{y\}$ of this morphism is called the center of π.

Theorem 8.1. *Let h be a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form and X the associated maximal unitary grassmannian. Then*

$$\mathrm{cdim}_2(X) = \dim(X) - ||J(h)||.$$

Proof. Let E be a 2-generic isotropy field of X with minimum transcendence degree $\mathrm{cdim}_2(X)$. Let Y be the closure of the F-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(E) \to X$. Hence, $F(Y)$ is a subfield of E and therefore one has $\mathrm{tr.deg}_F(E) \geq \dim(Y)$.

Since E is 2-generic, there is a field extension $L/F(X)$ of odd degree and an F-place $E \rightharpoonup K$. Here one uses the two aforementioned facts on F-places. Restricting the previous F-place to $F(Y)$, one get an F-place $\pi : F(Y) \rightharpoonup L$. As Y is complete, it follows that there is a morphism $f : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to Y$. Let $g : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to X$ be the morphism induced by the extension $L/F(X)$. Then the closure Z of the image of $(f, g) : \mathrm{Spec}(L) \to Y \times X$ is of degree the degree of the center of π, which is odd since it divides $[L : F(X)]$. Thus, the image of $[Z]$ under the composition

$$\mathrm{Ch}(Y \times X) \xrightarrow{(i\times 1)_*} \mathrm{Ch}(X^2) \xrightarrow{q_*} \mathrm{Ch}(X) \ ,$$

where $i : Y \to X$ is the closed embedding and q is the second projection, is equal to $[X]$. In particular, it follows that $(i \times 1)_*([Z])_{F(X)} \neq 0$ in $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2) \subset \mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X}^2)$.

We claim that the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ch}_K(Y) \otimes \mathrm{Ch}_K(X^2) & \to & \mathrm{Ch}_K(Y \times X) \\ \alpha \otimes \beta & \mapsto & p_K^*(\alpha) \cdot (i \times 1)_K^*(\beta) \end{array} \ , \tag{8.2}$$

where p is the projection $Y \times X \to Y$, is surjective. Observing the proof of [2, Proposition 58.18], one sees that the same statement remains valid for Chow rings Ch_K. Consequently, it suffices to show that for any $y \in Y$ the homomorphism $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X^2) \to \mathrm{Ch}_K(X_{F(y)})$ associated with the pull-back of the induced morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(F(y)) \times X \to X^2$ (where the second factor is the identity) is surjective to prove the claim. The pull-back homomorphism $\mathrm{Ch}(X^2) \to \mathrm{Ch}(X_{F(y)})$ sends an element in the fiber of the rational cycle $x_I \in \mathrm{Ch}(\bar{X}^2)$ (defined in (5.4)) under restriction to $e_I \in \mathrm{Ch}(X_{F(y)}) \simeq \mathrm{Ch}(\bar{X})$. Since by Theorem 4.9 the classes e_I generate $\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X})$, one deduces that the composition

$$\mathrm{Ch}(X^2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}(X_{F(y)}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_K(X_{F(y)})$$

is surjective. Therefore the homomorphism $\mathrm{Ch}_K(X^2) \to \mathrm{Ch}_K(X_{F(y)})$ is also surjective and the claim is proven.

As a consequence of [2, Proposition 49.20 and 58.17], one get that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ch}_K(Y)\otimes \mathrm{Ch}_K(X^2) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ch}_K(Y\times X)\ , \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_{*K}\otimes 1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (i\times 1)_{*K}} \\ \mathrm{Ch}_K(X)\otimes \mathrm{Ch}_K(X^2) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ch}_K(X^2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Ch}_K(\overline{X})\otimes \mathrm{Ch}_K(X^2) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X}^2) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are defined as in (8.2), is commutative. Using the fact that $(i\times 1)_*([Z])_{F(X)}\neq 0$ in $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2)$ and the claim, one obtains that the composition

$$\mathrm{Ch}_K(Y)\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_K(X)\longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X})$$

is nontrivial. Therefore, by Proposition 6.1 one has $\dim(Y)\geq \dim(X)-||J(h)||$.

Thus, one has

$$\mathrm{cdim}_2(X)=\mathrm{tr.deg}_F(E)\geq \dim(Y)\geq \dim(X)-||J(h)||.$$

It follows from Proposition 6.1 that there is a closed subvariety $Y\subset X$ of dimension $\dim(X)-||J(h)||$ such that $[Y]\neq 0$ in $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$. In particular, one has $[Y]\neq 0$ in $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}(X)$. From this moment on, the remaining of the proof of the second inequality is strictly the same as the one in the orthogonal case, see [2, Theorem 90.3]. □

We recall that if $\dim(h)=2n+1$ then $\dim(X)=n(n+2)=3+5+\cdots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)$ and if $\dim(h)=2n+2$ then $\dim(X)=(n+1)^2=1+3+5+\cdots+(2n-1)+(2n+1)$.

Corollary 8.3. *The variety X is 2-incompressible if and only if $J(h)$ is empty.*

Remark 8.4. In the case where h is a *generic* hermitian form for a fixed separable extension K/F, meaning that h is the diagonal $K(t_1,\ldots,t_d)/F(t_1,\ldots,t_d)$-hermitian form $<t_1,\ldots,t_d>$, where $d=\dim(h)$ and $t_1,\ldots,t_d$ are variables, the maximal unitary grassmannian is 2-incompressible by [6, Theorem 8.1]. Therefore, the J-invariant of a generic hermitian form is empty. Generic hermitian forms are important notably because any arbitrary d-dimensional K/F-hermitian form is a specialization of h.

9. Motivic decomposition

In this section, we determine the complete motivic decomposition of the K-motive $M^K(X)$ of the maximal unitary grassmannian X associated with a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form h in terms of the J-invariant $J(h)$. The category of K-motives is defined the same way as the category of Chow motives (see [2, Chapter XII]) but using the Chow rings Ch_K instead of the usual Chow rings CH.

For $J=J(h)\subset [1,\ 2n+1]_{od}$ or $[3,\ 2n+1]_{od}$ depending on whether $\dim(h)$ is respectively equal to $2n+2$ or $2n+1$, let $\bar{J}$ denote the complementary set. Thus, one always has $||J||+||\bar{J}||=\dim(X)$. We also use notation introduced in the previous sections. By the very definition of the J-invariant and Corollary 5.3, for any $S\subset \bar{J}$ and $L,L'\subset J$, the cycle

$$\theta_{S,L,L'}:=x_S\cdot(e_L\times e_{J\setminus L'}),$$

where x_S is defined in (5.4), belongs to $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2)$. Note that $\theta_{S,L,L'}$ can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{M\subset S} e_{M\sqcup L}\times e_{(S\setminus M)\sqcup(J\setminus L')},$$

where $\sqcup$ is the disjoint union of sets.

We write θ_L for $\theta_{\bar J,L,L}$ and $\theta_{L,L'}$ for $\theta_{\bar J,L,L'}$. Let $\Delta_{\bar X^2}$ denote the class of the diagonal in $\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X^2)$.

Lemma 9.1. (i) *The set* $\mathcal{B}=\{\theta_{S,L,L'}\mid S\subset\bar J\,;L,L'\subset J\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$*-basis of* $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2)$. *In particular, the set* $\{\theta_L\mid L\subset J\}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$*-basis of* $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K^{\dim(X)}(X^2)$.

(ii) *As correspondences of degree* 0*, the elements of* $\{\theta_L\mid L\subset J\}$ *are pairwise orthogonal projectors such that* $\sum_{L\subset J}\theta_L=\Delta_{\overline{X}^2}$.

Proof. The set $\mathcal{B}$ is a free family. Indeed, assume that $\sum_{S,L,L'}\alpha_{S,L,L'}\cdot\theta_{S,L,L'}=0$ for some $\alpha_{S,L,L'}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Choose $L_0\subset J$. By multiplying the latter equation by $e_{\bar J\sqcup(J\setminus L_0)}\times 1$, one get

$$\sum_{S,L,L'}\alpha_{S,L,L'}\cdot\left(\sum_{M\subset S}e_{\left(\bar J\sqcup(J\setminus L_0)\right)\cup(M\sqcup L)}\times e_{(S\setminus M)\sqcup(J\setminus L')}\right)=0.$$

By using (5.6), taking the image of the previous equation under the homomorphism $(p_*)_K$ associated with the push-forward of second projection $p:\bar X\times\bar X\to\bar X$, one get

$$\sum_{S,L'}\alpha_{S,L_0,L'}\cdot e_{S\sqcup(J\setminus L')}=0.$$

Hence, since the family $\{e_I\}$ is free (it is even a basis of $\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X)$, see Theorem 4.9), one obtains that $\alpha_{S,L_0,L'}=0$ for any $S\subset\bar J$ and any $L'\subset J$. Therefore, the family $\mathcal{B}$ is free.

Moreover, since the K-motive $M^K(\bar X)$ is split, by the analog of [2, Proposition 64.3] for K-motives, the horizontal arrows (given by the external product) in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{Ch}_K(X)\otimes\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ch}_K(X\times\bar X)\,,\\ \downarrow & & \downarrow\\ \mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X)\otimes\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X\times\bar X)\end{array}$$

are isomorphisms. Consequently, since $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2)$ is included in the image of the vertical right arrow, which is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)\otimes\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X)$, one get

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\,\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2)\le\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\,\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)\cdot\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\,\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar X).$$

Furthermore, we know from Theorems 4.9, 5.7 and the definition of the J-invariant that $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\,\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)=2^{|J|}$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}\,\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2)\le 2^{r+|J|}=2^{|\bar J|+2|J|}=|\mathcal{B}|.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X^2)$.

The second assertion of conclusion (i) comes then from the observation that the cycle $\theta_{S,L,L'}$ has codimension $\dim(X)$ if and only if $S=\bar J$ and $L'=L$.

By the definition of the composition of correspondences, for any $L, L' \subset J$, one has

$$\theta_{L'} \circ \theta_L = \sum_{M_1, M_2 \subset \bar{J}} p_{13*K} \left(e_{M_1 \sqcup L} \times e_{\left((\bar{J} \setminus M_1) \sqcup (J \setminus L)\right) \cup (M_2 \sqcup L')} \times e_{(\bar{J} \setminus M_2) \sqcup (J \setminus L')} \right).$$

Again by (5.6), it follows that

$$\theta_{L'} \circ \theta_L = \begin{cases} \theta_L & \text{if } L' = L\,; \\ 0 \mod 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, one has the following identity

$$\sum_{L \subset J} \theta_L = \sum_{I \subset [1,\, 2n+1]_{od}} e_I \times e_{[1,\, 2n+1]_{od} \setminus I}$$

(one needs to replace $[1,\ 2n+1]_{od}$ by $[3,\ 2n+1]_{od}$ for odd dimensional h). Therefore, for any $I \subset [1,\ 2n+1]_{od}$ (or $[3,\ 2n+1]_{od}$), one has $\left(\sum_{L \subset J} \theta_L\right)^* (e_I) = e_I$. Since the elements e_I generate the ring $\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X})$, one deduces that $\sum_{L \subset J} \theta_L = \Delta_{\bar{X}^2}$ and (ii) is proven. □

We are now able to prove the following K-motivic decomposition (in the spirit of [8, Theorem 5.13]).

Theorem 9.2. *Let h be a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form and X the associated maximal unitary grassmannian. Then the K-motive of X decomposes as*

$$M^K(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{L \subset J(h)} \mathcal{R}(h)(||L||),$$

where $\mathcal{R}(h)$ is an indecomposable motive.

Moreover, over a splitting field of h, the K-motive $\mathcal{R}(h)$ decomposes as a sum of shifts of the Tate motive. More precisely, one has

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}(h)} \simeq \bigoplus_{M \subset \overline{J(h)}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}(||M||).$$

Proof. Using (5.6) in a similar way to how it has been used in the proof of Lemma 9.1(ii), one obtains

$$\theta_{L,N} \circ \theta_{L',L} = \theta_{L',N}.$$

In particular, this implies that for any $L, L' \subset J$, the projectors θ_L and $\theta_{L'}$ are isomorphic (in the sense of [8, §2]), the isomorphism being given by $\theta_{L,L'}$ and $\theta_{L',L}$.

Moreover, we claim that the projectors θ_L are indecomposable. By Lemma 9.1(i), the morphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-modules

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K^{\dim(X)}(X \times X) \to \mathrm{End}\left(\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)\right),$$

which associates θ_L^* to θ_L, is well defined. Since $\{e_N \mid N \subset J\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$ (see Theorems 4.9 and 5.7) and

$$\theta_L^*(e_N) = \begin{cases} e_L & \text{if } N = L\,; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \tag{9.3}$$

it is in fact an injective ring homomorphism (with the ring structure on $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K^{\dim(X)}(X \times X)$ given by the composition of correspondences). In particular, it preserves sums of

orthogonal projectors. Therefore it suffices to show that θ_L^* is indecomposable. The latter is true since by (9.3) one has $|\mathrm{Im}(\theta_L^*)| = 1$ (otherwise one would have $|\mathrm{Im}(\theta_L^*)| \geq 2$). The claim is proven.

By the very definition of the category of K-motives, for any j, one has $\mathrm{End}^j\left(M^K(X)\right) = \mathrm{Ch}_K^{\dim(X)+j}(X \times X)$, with the composition of endomorphisms given by the composition of correspondences. Observing the proof of [2, Theorem 67.1], one sees that it remains valid at the level of Chow rings Ch_K. Since for any $x \in X$, the hermitian form h splits over $F(x)$, one deduces that the kernel of the restriction ring homomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}^*\left(M^K(X)\right) \to \mathrm{End}^*\left(M^K(\bar{X})\right)$$

consists of nilpotent elements, i.e. the Rost Nilpotence holds for X at the level of Chow rings Ch_K.

Consequently, combining with Lemma 9.1(ii), one get that there exists a family $\{\psi_L \mid L \subset J\}$ of pairwise orthogonal projectors in $\mathrm{Ch}_K^{\dim(X)}(X \times X)$, satisfying $\overline{\psi_L} = \theta_L$, all isomorphic to ψ_J (with respect to the correspondences $\theta_{L,J}$), and such that $\sum_{L \subset J} \psi_L = \Delta_X$ (see [8, Proposition 2.6]). Note that the Rost Nilpotence implies that the projectors ψ_L are also indecomposable. Let $\mathcal{R}(h)$ denote the indecomposable K-motive $(X, \psi_\emptyset)^K$. Thus, for any $L \subset J$, one has $(X, \psi_L)^K = \mathcal{R}(h)(||L||)$ (since $\mathrm{codim}(\theta_{L,J}) = \dim(X) - ||J \backslash L||$). In other words, one has the desired K-motivic decomposition of $M^K(X)$.

We prove now the last assertion of the theorem. Over a splitting field, one has $\overline{\mathcal{R}(h)} = (\bar{X}, \theta_\emptyset)^K$. Moreover the writing $\theta_\emptyset = \sum_{M \subseteq \bar{J}} e_M \times e_{(\bar{J} \backslash M) \sqcup J}$ is a decomposition as a sum of pairwise orthogonal projectors in $\mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X} \times \bar{X})$. These projectors are all isomorphic to $1 \times e_{\bar{J} \sqcup J}$ with $(\bar{X}, e_M \times e_{(\bar{J} \backslash M) \sqcup J})^K \simeq (\bar{X}, 1 \times e_{\bar{J} \sqcup J})^K(||M||)$ (recall that $e_{\bar{J} \sqcup J}$ is the class of a rational point). Furthermore, one easily checks that the K-motive $(\bar{X}, 1 \times e_{\bar{J} \sqcup J})^K$ is isomorphic the the Tate motive $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ in the category of K-motives.

The theorem is proven. □

The following statement is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 9.2 and the Rost Nilpotence principle.

Corollary 9.4. *Any direct summand of $M^K(X)$ is isomorphic to a sum of shifts of the motive $\mathcal{R}(h)$.*

Remark 9.5. It follows from Theorem 9.2 that $M^K(X)$ is indecomposable if and only if $J(h)$ is empty, i.e. if and only if X is 2-incompressible. In particular, this applies to generic hermitian forms.

Remark 9.6. We recall that one always has $\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}(M_e) \simeq \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X)$, with M_e the essential motive of X (see [6, Remark 7.4]). Moreover, it follows from the proof of Lemma 9.1(i) that the external product induces an isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X) \otimes \mathrm{Ch}_K(\bar{X}) \simeq \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X \times X). \tag{9.7}$$

Note that since the motive $\overline{M_e}$ is split, one can also do what has been done in the proof of Lemma 9.1(i) with Ch and M_e instead of Ch_K and X. Therefore, one also get the isomorphism corresponding to (9.7) at the level of Ch and M_e. Hence, there is an isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}(M_e \times M_e) \simeq \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X \times X),$$

which respects the ring structure given by the composition of correspondences.

As a consequence, using Rost Nilpotence, one obtains that the essential motive M_e is decomposable if and only if the K-motive $M^K(X)$ is decomposable.

10. Comparison with quadratic forms

Let h be a non-degenerate K/F-hermitian form and q the associated non-degenerate F-quadratic form. In this section, we compare the J-invariant $J(h)$ with the J-invariant $J(q)$ as defined by A. Vishik in [11]. We recall that if $\dim(h) = 2n+2$ then $J(q)$ is a subset of $[0,\ 2n+1]$, otherwise – if $\dim(h) = 2n+1$ then it is a subset of $[0,\ 2n]$ and in both cases $J(h)$ is a subset of $[1,\ 2n+1]_{\mathrm{od}}$.

Let X be the maximal hermitian grassmannian of h and Y the maximal orthogonal grassmannian of q. Since a totally h-isotropic K-subspace is also a totally q-isotropic F-subspace, there is a natural closed imbedding $in : X \hookrightarrow Y$.

Proposition 10.1. *One has*

$$J(q) = \begin{cases} J(h) \cup [0,\ 2n]_{\mathrm{ev}} & \text{if } \dim(h) = 2n+2; \\ \\ [1,\ 2n] & \text{if } \dim(h) = 2n+1, \end{cases}$$

where $[0,\ 2n]_{\mathrm{ev}}$ *stands for the even part of the set* $[0,\ 2n]$.

Proof. Assume that $\dim(h) = 2n+2$. In this case, by the very definition of the vector bundle E over X introduced in subsection 3.2, for any $0 \leq k \leq 2n+1$, one has $in^*(f_k) = e_k$ in $\mathrm{Ch}(\overline{X})$, where the elements f_k are the generators of the ring $\mathrm{Ch}(\overline{Y})$ defining $J(q)$ in the same way the elements e_k, for k odd, define $J(h)$ (see [11] or [2]). Therefore, one has $J(q)_{\mathrm{od}} \subset J(h)$. Moreover, since the quadratic form q is obtained from a K/F-hermitian form, the absolute Witt indices of q are even. Hence, by [2, Proposition 88.8] (this is the quadratic equivalent of Proposition 6.10, with the J-invariant defined in the opposite way), the set $[0,\ 2n]_{\mathrm{ev}}$ is contained in $J(q)$. Furthermore, by [6, Corollary 9.3], the varieties X and Y have the same canonical 2-dimension. Thus, by [2, Theorem 90.3] and Theorem 8.1, one has

$$||J(q)|| - ||J(h)|| = \dim(Y) - \dim(X) = 0 + 2 + \cdots + (2n-2) + 2n.$$

Consequently, one has $J(q) = J(h) \cup [0,\ 2n]_{\mathrm{ev}}$.

Assume that $\dim(h) = 2n+1$. In this case, one has $\mathrm{cdim}_2(Y) = 0$ (see [6, Corollary 9.3]). Therefore, by [2, Theorem 90.3], one has

$$||J(q)|| = \dim(Y) = \frac{2n(2n+1)}{2}.$$

Moreover since $i_0(q)$ is even, the quadratic form q is not split. Hence, by [2, Proposition 88.2], $J(q)$ is not maximal. Consequently, one has $J(q) = [1,\ 2n]$. □

Remark 10.2. Proposition 10.1 allows one to recover the smallest value of the J-invariant of a non-degenerate quadratic form q associated with a hermitian form h of even dimension $2n+2$ over a quadratic separable field extension of the base field, i.e. q is given by the tensor product of a $(2n+2)$-dimensional bilinear form by a binary quadratic form.

Namely, this value is $[0,\ 2n]_{\mathrm{ev}}$ and it is obtained for h generic. This was originally proven by N. A. Karpenko, see [6, Corollary 9.4].

Remark 10.3. For even dimensional non-degenerate K/F-hermitian forms, Proposition 10.1 and its proof, combined with Theorem 5.7, provides an other argument for the surjectivity of the homomorphism

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ch}}(Y) \to \overline{\mathrm{Ch}}_K(X),$$

associated with the pull-back in^*. This was originally observed by Maksim Zhykhovich, see [6, Lemma 9.8].

References

[1] Chernousov, V., Gille, S., and Merkurjev, A. Motivic decomposition of isotropic projective homogeneous varieties. *Duke Math. J. 126*, 1 (2005), 137–159.

[2] Elman, R., Karpenko, N., and Merkurjev, A. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, vol. 56 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.

[3] Fino, R. Around rationality of integral cycles. *J.Pure.Appl.Algebra 217*, 9 (2013), 1702–1710.

[4] Karpenko, N. Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and isotropic flag varieties. *St. Petersburg Math. J. 12*, 1 (2001), 1–50.

[5] Karpenko, N. Canonical dimension. *Proceedings of the ICM II* (2010), 146–161.

[6] Karpenko, N. Unitary grassmannians. *J.Pure.Appl.Algebra 216*, 12 (2012), 2586–2600.

[7] Merkurjev, A., and Tignol, J.-P. The multipliers of similitudes and the Brauer group of homogeneous varieties. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 461 (1995), 13–47.

[8] Petrov, V., Semenov, N., and Zainoulline, K. J-invariant of linear algebraic groups. *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) 41*, 6 (2008), 1023–1053.

[9] Semenov, N. Motivic construction of cohomological invariants. *Comment. Math. Helv. 91*, 1 (2016), 163–202.

[10] Semenov, N., and Zainoulline, K. Essential dimension of hermitian spaces. *Math. Ann. 2*, 346 (2010), 499–503.

[11] Vishik, A. On the Chow groups of quadratic Grassmannians. *Documenta Math.*, 10 (2005), 111–130.

[12] Vishik, A. Field of u-invariant $2^r + 1$. In *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II*, vol. 270 of *Progr.Math.* Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2009, pp. 661–685.

Instituto de Matemáticas, Ciudad Universitaria, UNAM, DF 04510, México

E-mail address: fino *at* im.unam.mx